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## Lyndon words

A word on an alphabet of $q$ letters is a Lyndon word if it is strictly smaller in lexicographic order than all its cyclic shifts.

Example: binary Lyndon words length $\leq 3$,

$$
0<_{\text {lex }} 001<_{\text {lex }} 01<_{\text {lex }} 011<_{\text {lex }} 1 .
$$

## The standard decomposition

## Theorem 1 (Chen, Fox, Lyndon)

Every word w can be uniquely written as a concatenation of Lyndon words in non-increasing lexicographic order, the standard decomposition of $w$.

Example: standard decompositions of binary words length 3,
(0)(0)(0) (01)(0)
(1)(0)(0)
(1)(1)(0)
(001)
(011)
(1)(01)
(1)(1)(1)

A standard decomposition $w=v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{k}$ with $v_{j}$ a Lyndon word and $v_{j} \geq_{\text {lex }} v_{j+1}$ is strictly decreasing if $v_{j}>_{\text {lex }} v_{j+1}$.

## The standard decomposition

## Theorem 1 (Chen, Fox, Lyndon)

Every word w can be uniquely written as a concatenation of Lyndon words in non-increasing lexicographic order, the standard decomposition of $w$.

Example: standard decompositions of binary words length 3,

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
(0)(0)(0) & (\mathbf{0 1})(\mathbf{0}) & (1)(0)(0) & (1)(1)(0) \\
(\mathbf{0 0 1}) & (\mathbf{0 1 1}) & (\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{0 1}) & (1)(1)(1)
\end{array}
$$

A standard decomposition $w=v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{k}$ with $v_{j}$ a Lyndon word and $v_{j} \geq_{\text {lex }} v_{j+1}$ is strictly decreasing if $v_{j}>_{\text {lex }} v_{j+1}$.

Binary words length 4 and 5.

| 0000 | 0100 | 1000 | 1100 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 0001 | 0101 | 1001 | 1101 |
| 0010 | 0110 | 1010 | 1110 |
| 0011 | 0111 | 1011 | 1111 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 00000 | 01000 | 10000 | 11000 |
| 00001 | 01001 | 10001 | 11001 |
| 00010 | 01010 | 10010 | 11010 |
| 00011 | 01011 | 10011 | 11011 |
| 00100 | 01100 | 10100 | 11100 |
| 00101 | 01101 | 10101 | 11101 |
| 00110 | 01110 | 10110 | 11110 |
| 00111 | 01111 | 10111 | 11111 |

Binary words length 4 and 5.

| $(0)(0)(0)(0)$ | $(01)(0)(0)$ | $(1)(0)(0)(0)$ | $(1)(1)(0)(0)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(\mathbf{0 0 0 1})$ | $(01)(01)$ | $(\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{0 0 1})$ | $(1)(1)(01)$ |
| $(\mathbf{0 0 1})(\mathbf{0})$ | $(\mathbf{0 1 1})(\mathbf{0})$ | $(\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{0 1})(\mathbf{0})$ | $(1)(1)(1)(0)$ |
| $(\mathbf{0 0 1 1})$ | $(\mathbf{0 1 1 1})$ | $(\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{0 1 1})$ | $(1)(1)(1)(1)$ |

$(0)(0)(0)(0)(0) \quad(01)(0)(0)(0) \quad(1)(0)(0)(0)(0) \quad(1)(1)(0)(0)(0)$ (00001)
(0001)(0)
(01)(001)
(01)(01)(0)
(1)(0001)
(1)(1)(001)
(1)(001)(0)
(1)(1)(01)(0)
(00011) (01011)
(1)(0011)
(1)(1)(011)
(001)(0)(0)
(011)(0)(0)
(1)(01)(0)(0)
(1)(1)(1)(0)(0)
(00101)
(0011)(0)
(011)(01)
(0111)(0)
(1)(01)(01)
(1)(1)(1)(01)
(1)(011)(0)
(1)(1)(1)(1)(0)
(00111)
(01111)
(1)(0111)
(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)

## Theorem 2 (Band, H., Sepanski)

For words of length $n \geq 2$ the no. of strictly decreasing standard decompositions is,

$$
(q-1) q^{n-1} .
$$

- Hence, the proportion of words length $n$ with strictly decreasing standard decompositions is $\frac{q-1}{q}$.
- i.e. half of binary words have strictly decreasing standard decompositions.
- Proof relies on generating functions and a classical result,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{I \mid m} I_{q}(I)=q^{m} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## q-nary graphs

- $V=q^{m}$ vertices labeled by words length $m$.
- $E=q^{m+1}$ directed edges $e$, each labeled by word $w$ length $m+1$.
- Origin vertex $o(e)$, first $m$ letters of $w$.
- Terminal vertex $t(e)$, last $m$ letters of $w$.
- 2q-regular
- Spectral gap: adjacency matrix has simple eigenvalue 1 and eigenvalue 0 with multiplicity $V-1$. (Maximal spectral gap and maximally mixing.)


## Example: binary graph with $2^{3}$ vertices
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## Example: binary graph with $2^{3}$ vertices
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## Example: binary graph with $2^{3}$ vertices
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## Example: binary graph with $2^{3}$ vertices
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## Example: ternary graph with $3^{2}$ vertices
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## Periodic orbits

- A path length $I$ is labeled by a word $w=a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l+m}$.
- A closed path length $/$ is labeled by $w=a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}$.
- A periodic orbit $\gamma$ is the equivalence class of closed paths under cyclic shifts.
- A primitive periodic orbit is a periodic orbit that is not a repartition of a shorter orbit.
- Primitive periodic orbits length / are in 1-to-1 correspondence with Lyndon words length $I$.
Example: 0011 is a primitive periodic orbit length 4.
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## Pseudo orbits

- A pseudo orbit $\tilde{\gamma}=\left\{\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{M}\right\}$ is a set of periodic orbits.
- A primitive pseudo orbit $\bar{\gamma}$ is a set of primitive periodic orbits where no periodic orbit appears more than once.
- Note: there is a bijection between primitive pseudo orbits and strictly decreasing standard decompositions.
Example: 011010 has strictly decreasing standard decomposition (011)(01)(0).
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## Quantum graph

To quantize graph; assign a unitary vertex scattering matrix $\sigma^{(v)}$ to each vertex $v$.

## Example

A democratic choice is the discrete Fourier transform matrix,

$$
\sigma_{e, e^{\prime}}^{(v)}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \\
1 & \omega & \omega^{2} & \ldots & \omega^{d_{v}-1} \\
1 & \omega^{2} & \omega^{4} & \ldots & \omega^{2\left(d_{v}-1\right)} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & \omega^{q-1} & \omega^{2(q-1)} & \ldots & \omega^{(q-1)(q-1)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\omega=\mathrm{e}^{\frac{2 \pi \mathrm{i}}{q}}$ a primitive $q$-th root of unity.

## Characteristic polynomial

Combine vertex scattering matrices into an $E \times E$ matrix $\Sigma$,

$$
\Sigma_{e, e^{\prime}}= \begin{cases}\sigma_{e, e^{\prime}}^{(v)} & v=t\left(e^{\prime}\right)=o(e)  \tag{2}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Quantum evolution op. $U(k)=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k L} \Sigma$, with $L=\operatorname{diag}\left\{I_{1}, \ldots, I_{E}\right\}$.
Characteristic polynomial of $U(k)$

$$
F_{\xi}(k)=\operatorname{det}(\xi \mathrm{I}-U(k))=\sum_{n=0}^{E} a_{n} \xi^{E-n}
$$

- Spectrum corresponds to roots of $F_{1}(k)=0$.
- Riemann-Siegel lookalike formula, $a_{n}=a_{E} a_{E-n}^{*}$.


## Periodic orbits on a quantum graph



To periodic orbit $\gamma=\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m}\right)$ on a quantum graph associate,

- topological length $E_{\gamma}=m$.
- metric length $l_{\gamma}=\sum_{e_{j} \in \gamma} l_{e_{j}}$.
- stability amplitude $A_{\gamma}=\Sigma_{e_{2} e_{1}} \Sigma_{e_{3} e_{2}} \ldots \Sigma_{e_{n} e_{n-1}} \Sigma_{e_{1} e_{m}}$.


## Pseudo orbits on a quantum graph



To pseudo orbit $\tilde{\gamma}=\left\{\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{M}\right\}$ associate,

- $m_{\tilde{\gamma}}=M$ no. of periodic orbits in $\tilde{\gamma}$.
- topological length $E_{\tilde{\gamma}}=\sum_{j=1}^{M} E_{\gamma_{j}}$.
- metric length $l_{\tilde{\gamma}}=\sum_{j=1}^{M} l_{\gamma_{j}}$.
- stability amplitude $A_{\tilde{\gamma}}=\prod_{j=1}^{M} A_{\gamma_{j}}$.


## Theorem 3 (Band,H., Joyner)

Coefficients of the characteristic polynomial $F_{\xi}(k)$ are given by,

$$
a_{n}=\sum_{\bar{\gamma} \mid E_{\bar{\gamma}}=n}(-1)^{m_{\bar{\gamma}}} A_{\bar{\gamma}} \exp \left(\mathrm{i} k l_{\bar{\gamma}}\right),
$$

where the finite sum is over all primitive pseudo orbits topological length $n$.

## Idea

- Expand $\operatorname{det}(\xi \mathrm{I}-U(k))$ as a sum over permutations.
- A permutation $\rho \in S_{E}$ can contribute iff $\rho(e)$ is connected to $e$ for all $e$ in $\rho$.
- Representing $\rho$ as a product of disjoint cycles each cycle is a primitive periodic orbit.


## Variance of coefficients of the characteristic polynomial

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle a_{n}\right\rangle_{k} & =\sum_{\bar{\gamma} \mid E_{\bar{\gamma}}=n}(-1)^{m_{\bar{\gamma}}} A_{\bar{\gamma}} \lim _{K \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{K} \int_{0}^{K} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k k_{\bar{\gamma}}} \mathrm{d} k= \begin{cases}1 & n=0 \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
\left.\left.\langle | a_{n}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{k} & =\sum_{\bar{\gamma}_{\gamma}, \bar{\gamma}^{\prime} \mid E_{\bar{\gamma}}=E_{\bar{\gamma}^{\prime}}=n}(-1)^{m_{\bar{\gamma}}+m_{\bar{\gamma}^{\prime}}} A_{\bar{\gamma}} \bar{A}_{\bar{\gamma}^{\prime}} \lim _{K \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{K} \int_{0}^{K} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k\left(\bar{\gamma}_{\bar{\gamma}}-\bar{\gamma}^{\prime}\right)} \mathrm{d} k \\
& =\sum_{\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\gamma}^{\prime} \mid E_{\bar{\gamma}}=E_{\bar{\gamma}^{\prime}}=n}(-1)^{m_{\bar{\gamma}}+m_{\bar{\gamma}^{\prime}}} A_{\bar{\gamma}} \bar{A}_{\bar{\gamma}^{\prime}} \delta_{l_{\bar{\gamma}}, l_{\gamma^{\prime}}} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Diagonal contribution

$$
\left.\left.\langle | a_{n}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{\text {diag }}=\sum_{\bar{\gamma} \mid E_{\bar{\gamma}}=n}\left|A_{\bar{\gamma}}\right|^{2}
$$

## Background

- Variance of coeffs of the characteristic polynomial of graphs Kottos and Smilansky (1999).
- Spectral statistics of binary graphs - Tanner (2000)\&(2001).
- Variance of coeffs of characteristic polynomial of binary graphs via permanent of transition matrix - Tanner (2002)


## Random matrix variance

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left.\langle | a_{n}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{COE}}=1+\frac{n(E-n)}{E+1} \\
& \left.\left.\langle | a_{n}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{CUE}}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Diagonal contribution

- Transition probability $\left|\sigma_{e, e^{\prime}}^{(v)}\right|^{2}=\frac{1}{q}$.
- No. of primitive pseudo orbits length $n$ equal to no. of strictly decreasing standard decompositions of words length $n$, $(q-1) q^{n-1}$.


## Diagonal contribution

$$
\left.\left.\langle | a_{n}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{diag}}=\sum_{\bar{\gamma} \mid E_{\bar{\gamma}}=n}\left|A_{\bar{\gamma}}\right|^{2}=\sum_{\bar{\gamma} \mid E_{\bar{\gamma}}=n} \frac{1}{q^{n}}=\frac{q-1}{q}
$$

For a sequence of graphs with increasing connectivity $q$ the diagonal contribution approaches the random matrix result,

$$
\left.\left.\langle | a_{n}\right|^{2}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{CUE}}=1
$$

## Off-diagonal contributions (with Tori Hudgins)

Figure of 8 pseudo orbit pairs.
e.g. $\bar{\gamma}=\{0000101\}, \bar{\gamma}^{\prime}=\{00001,01\}$ have same metric length.


Scattering matrix at intersection vertex $v=010$,

$$
\sigma^{(v)}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1  \tag{4}\\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

$A_{\bar{\gamma}}$ and $A_{\bar{\gamma}^{\prime}}$ differ by -1 but $m_{\bar{\gamma}}=1$ and $m_{\bar{\gamma}^{\prime}}=2$. Hence,

$$
(-1)^{m_{\bar{\gamma}}+m_{\bar{\gamma}^{\prime}}} A_{\bar{\gamma}} \bar{A}_{\bar{\gamma}^{\prime}}=\left|A_{\bar{\gamma}}\right|^{2} .
$$

## Off-diagonal contributions (with Tori Hudgins)

Figure of 8 pseudo orbit pairs.
e.g. $\bar{\gamma}=\{0000101\}, \bar{\gamma}^{\prime}=\{00001,01\}$ have same metric length.


Scattering matrix at intersection vertex $v=010$,

$$
\sigma^{(v)}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1  \tag{4}\\
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$A_{\bar{\gamma}}$ and $A_{\bar{\gamma}^{\prime}}$ differ by -1 but $m_{\bar{\gamma}}=1$ and $m_{\bar{\gamma}^{\prime}}=2$. Hence,

$$
(-1)^{m_{\bar{\gamma}}+m_{\bar{\gamma}^{\prime}}} A_{\bar{\gamma}} \bar{A}_{\bar{\gamma}^{\prime}}=\left|A_{\bar{\gamma}}\right|^{2} .
$$

## Off-diagonal contributions (with Tori Hudgins)

Figure of 8 pseudo orbit pairs with longer encounters.
e.g. $\bar{\gamma}=\{0010011\}$ and $\bar{\gamma}^{\prime}=\{001,0011\}$ have same metric length; both use edge 1001 twice.

$A_{\bar{\gamma}}=A_{\bar{\gamma}^{\prime}}$ but $m_{\bar{\gamma}}=1$ and $m_{\bar{\gamma}^{\prime}}=2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{m_{\bar{\gamma}}+m_{\bar{\gamma}^{\prime}}} A_{\bar{\gamma}} \bar{A}_{\bar{\gamma}^{\prime}}=-\left|A_{\bar{\gamma}}\right|^{2} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Contributions of figure 8 pairs intersecting at a point and with longer encounters cancel in the limit of long pseudo orbits.
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## Off-diagonal contributions (with Tori Hudgins)

Figure of 8 pseudo orbit pairs with longer encounters.
e.g. $\bar{\gamma}=\{0010011\}$ and $\bar{\gamma}^{\prime}=\{001,0011\}$ have same metric length; both use edge 1001 twice.

$A_{\bar{\gamma}}=A_{\bar{\gamma}^{\prime}}$ but $m_{\bar{\gamma}}=1$ and $m_{\bar{\gamma}^{\prime}}=2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{m_{\bar{\gamma}}+m_{\bar{\gamma}^{\prime}}} A_{\bar{\gamma}} \bar{A}_{\bar{\gamma}^{\prime}}=-\left|A_{\bar{\gamma}}\right|^{2} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Contributions of figure 8 pairs intersecting at a point and with longer encounters cancel in the limit of long pseudo orbits.

## Summary

- New result for Lyndon words decompositions.
- Graph spectrum encoded in finite number of short primitive pseudo orbits.
- RMT behavior requires stronger conditions.
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